

IN THE MATTER between **TUKTOYAKTUK HOUSING ASSOCIATION**,
Applicant, and **CATHERINE KATIGAKYOK**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter
R-5 (the "Act") and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer,
regarding the rental premises at **TUKTOYAKTUK, NT.**

BETWEEN:

TUKTOYAKTUK HOUSING ASSOCIATION

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

CATHERINE KATIGAKYOK

Respondent/Tenant

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The application is dismissed.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 31st day of March,
2016.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **TUKTOYAKTUK HOUSING ASSOCIATION**,
Applicant, and **CATHERINE KATIGAKYOK**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter
R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

TUKTOYAKTUK HOUSING ASSOCIATION

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

CATHERINE KATIGAKYOK

Respondent/Tenant

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: March 3, 2016

Place of the Hearing: Tuktoyaktuk, NT via telephone

Appearances at Hearing: Lucille Pokiak, representing the applicant
Brian Larman, representing the applicant
Catherine Katigakyok, respondent

Date of Decision: March 3, 2016

REASONS FOR DECISION

The applicant alleged that the respondent had breached the tenancy agreement by failing to pay rent and sought an order requiring the respondent to pay the alleged rent arrears and terminating the tenancy agreement and evicting the respondent. The premises are subsidized public housing.

The applicant provided a statement of the rent account in evidence which indicated a balance of rent owing in the amount of \$8811.94.

A previous order (file #20-10931, filed on August 28, 2009) required the respondent to pay rent arrears of \$10,528.79 and terminated the tenancy agreement between the parties on October 31, 2009 unless the rent arrears were paid in full. The rent arrears were not paid but the respondent stated that they had reinstated the tenancy agreement.

The previous order does not appear to have been filed in the Court, but is still enforceable (refer to section 14 in Bill 42, *An Act to Amend the Residential Tenancies Act*). The previous order is sufficient to satisfy the rent arrears.

The applicant acknowledged that the arrears had been reduced since the previous order and that the parties had agreed on an arrangement to pay the rent arrears. The applicant withdrew their request to terminate the tenancy agreement. Therefore no order is required and the application shall be dismissed.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer