

IN THE MATTER between **NWT HOUSING CORPORATION**, Applicant, and
SANDRA ARNAULT, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter
R-5 (the "Act") and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer,
regarding the rental premises at **HAY RIVER, NT.**

BETWEEN:

NWT HOUSING CORPORATION

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

SANDRA ARNAULT

Respondent/Tenant

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The application is dismissed without prejudice.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 30th day of
September, 2014.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **NWT HOUSING CORPORATION**, Applicant, and
SANDRA ARNAULT, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter
R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

NWT HOUSING CORPORATION

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

SANDRA ARNAULT

Respondent/Tenant

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: August 15, 2014

Place of the Hearing: Hay River, NT via teleconference

Appearances at Hearing: Jessica Carriere, representing the applicant
Sandra Arnault, respondent

Date of Decision: August 15, 2014

REASONS FOR DECISION

The applicant alleged that the respondent had breached the tenancy agreement by failing to pay rent and sought an order requiring the respondent to pay the alleged rent arrears and to pay future rent on time. The premises are subsidized public housing.

The applicant alleged that the rent arrears were \$1625.74 but a statement of the rent account entered in evidence indicated a balance owing of \$1125.74. The applicant stated that the administration of the program had been transferred from the NWT Housing Corporation to the Hay River Housing Authority on April 1, 2014 and that the amount alleged owing was what was owed to the NWT Housing Corporation on the effective date of the transfer.

The applicant was unable to say with confidence why the statement indicated a balance of \$1125.74 rather than the higher amount sought in relief. She stated that she thought the difference might be the application of the \$500 security deposit. The respondent stated that she had provided a security deposit of \$500.

An applicant must provide evidence supporting the quantum of rent arrears alleged owing. In this matter, the applicant has failed to provide adequate evidence to support the request for \$1625.74. Accordingly the application is dismissed. The applicant is granted leave to make a future application.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer