IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **CYNTHIA GRANDEJAMBE**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act")and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **YELLOWKNIFE**, **NT**.

BETWEEN:

#### NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

#### CYNTHIA GRANDEJAMBE

Respondent/Tenant

## **EVICTION ORDER**

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Pursuant to sections 63(4)(a) and 83(2) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall be evicted from the premises known as Apartment 114, 490 Range Lake Road, Yellowknife, NT on:
  - a) August 3, 2013 unless a payment of at least one thousand five hundred thirty three dollars and fifty cents (\$1533.50) has been made to the applicant on or before August 2, 2013 and,
  - b) on August 31, 2013 unless the remainder of the rent arrears and the August, 2013 rent in the total amount of three thousand sixty seven dollars (\$3067.00) has been paid to the applicant.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 26th day of July, 2013.

Hal Logsdon	
Rental Office	er

IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **CYNTHIA GRANDEJAMBE**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

## BETWEEN:

## NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

## **CYNTHIA GRANDEJAMBE**

Respondent/Tenant

# **REASONS FOR DECISION**

**Date of the Hearing:** July 24, 2013

Place of the Hearing: Yellowknife, NT

**Appearances at Hearing:** Maigan Lefrancois, representing the applicant

Cynthia Grandejambe, respondent

**Date of Decision:** July 24, 2013

# **REASONS FOR DECISION**

This tenancy agreement will be terminated by order unless payments of arrears are provided to the applicant in accordance with the order (file #10-13534, filed on July 26, 2013). In my opinion, the eviction is justified if the ordered payments are not made and the respondent remains in possession of the premises after the termination date.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer