

IN THE MATTER between **5655 NWT LTD.**, Applicant, and **CHANTEL LAFFERTY AND LANCE LAROCQUE**, Respondents;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act") and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **YELLOWKNIFE, NT.**

BETWEEN:

5655 NWT LTD.

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

CHANTEL LAFFERTY AND LANCE LAROCQUE

Respondents/Tenants

EVICTIION ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to sections 63(4)(a) and 83(2) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondents shall be evicted from the premises known as 611 Anson Drive, Yellowknife, NT on February 5, 2013 unless the rent arrears and the February, 2013 rent in the total amount of six thousand seven hundred fifty dollars (\$6750.00) are paid in full on or before February 4, 2013.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 24th day of January, 2013.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **5655 NWT LTD.**, Applicant, and **CHANTEL LAFFERTY AND LANCE LAROCQUE**, Respondents.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

5655 NWT LTD.

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

CHANTEL LAFFERTY AND LANCE LAROCQUE

Respondents/Tenants

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: January 23, 2013

Place of the Hearing: Yellowknife, NT

Appearances at Hearing: Lynn Elkin, representing the applicant

Date of Decision: January 23, 2013

REASONS FOR DECISION

The respondents were sent Notices of Attendance by registered mail which were confirmed delivered. The respondents failed to appear at the hearing and the hearing was held in their absence.

The tenancy agreement between the parties will be terminated by order on February 4, 2013 unless the respondents pay the applicant rent arrears and the rent for February, 2013 in the total amount of \$6750 (file #10-13290, filed on January 24, 2013).

In my opinion the eviction is justified if the rent arrears and the February, 2013 rent are not paid as ordered and the respondents remain in possession of the premises after February 4, 2013.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer