

IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **LAURA LEE NITSIZA**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act"); as amended,

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **YELLOWKNIFE, NT**.

BETWEEN:

**NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

**LAURA LEE NITSIZA**

Respondent/Tenant

**EVICITION ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to sections 63(4)(a) and 83(2) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall be evicted from the premises known as Apartment 116, 492 Range Lake Road (Sandstone South) on November 13, 2012 unless the rent arrears, the outstanding balance of the security deposit and the November, 2012 rent in the total amount of three thousand nine hundred nineteen dollars (\$3919.00) has been paid to the applicant on or before November 12, 2012.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 29th day of October, 2012.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **LAURA LEE NITSIZA**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

**NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

**LAURA LEE NITSIZA**

Respondent/Tenant

## REASONS FOR DECISION

**Date of the Hearing:** **October 26, 2012**

**Place of the Hearing:** Yellowknife, NT

**Appearances at Hearing:** Lillian Sliwka, representing the applicant  
Laura Lee Nitsiza, respondent

**Date of Decision:** **October 26, 2012**

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

The tenancy agreement between the parties will be terminated by order on November 12, 2012 unless the applicant pays the respondent rent arrears, the remaining balance of the security deposit and the November, 2012 rent in the total amount of \$3919 (file #10-13047, filed on October 29, 2012).

In my opinion, the eviction is justified if the respondent fails to pay the ordered amount and remains in possession of the premises.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer