IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **JAMES COXFORD AND DENNIS ROGERS AND HERMAN KAGLIK JR.**, Respondents;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act")and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **INUVIK**, **NT**.

BETWEEN:

NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

JAMES COXFORD AND DENNIS ROGERS AND HERMAN KAGLIK JR.

Respondents/Tenants

EVICTION ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to section 63(4)(a) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondents shall be evicted from the premises known as 08 Alder Road, Inuvik, NT on September 17, 2012 unless the rent arrears and the rent for September, 2012 in the total amount of four thousand fifteen dollars and one cent (\$4015.01) are paid in full on or before September 14, 2012.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 31st day of August, 2012.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **JAMES COXFORD AND DENNIS ROGERS AND HERMAN KAGLIK JR.**, Respondents.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

JAMES COXFORD AND DENNIS ROGERS AND HERMAN KAGLIK JR.

Respondents/Tenants

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: August 28, 2012

Place of the Hearing: Inuvik, NT

Appearances at Hearing: Bright Lubansa, representing the applicant

Date of Decision: August 28, 2012

- 1 -

REASONS FOR DECISION

The respondents were served with a Notices of Attendance sent by registered mail and confirmed

delivered. The respondents failed to appear at the hearing and the hearing was held in her

absence.

The tenancy agreement between the parties will be terminated on September 14, 2012 unless rent

arrears and the September, 2012 rent are paid in full. In my opinion, the eviction is justified if the

respondents fail to pay the rent arrears and the September, 2012 rent as ordered and remain in

possession of the premises.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer