IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **DOREEN ESAGOK**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act")and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **INUVIK**, **NT**.

BETWEEN:

NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

DOREEN ESAGOK

Respondent/Tenant

EVICTION ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to sections 63(4)(a) and 83(2) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall be evicted from the premises known as Apartment 6, 20 Tununuk Drive, Inuvik, NT on September 1, 2012 unless rent arrears in the amount of one thousand seven hundred nineteen dollars (\$1719.00) are paid in full to the applicant on or before August 31, 2012.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 19th day of July, 2012.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **DOREEN ESAGOK**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

DOREEN ESAGOK

Respondent/Tenant

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: July 19, 2012

Place of the Hearing: Inuvik, NT, via teleconference

Appearances at Hearing: Bright Lubansa, representing the applicant

Date of Decision: July 19, 2012

- 2 -

REASONS FOR DECISION

The respondent was sent a Notice of Attendance by registered mail. At the time of the hearing,

there was no confirmation of receipt however a notice was provided to the respondent by Canada

Post on July 6, 2012 indicating where the item could be picked up. The applicant stated that the

respondent was still in possession of the premises. In my opinion it is not unreasonable to deem

the Notice of Attendance served pursuant to section 71(5) of the Residential Tenancies Act. The

respondent failed to appear at the hearing and the hearing was held in her absence.

The tenancy agreement between the parties will be terminated by order on August 31, 2012

unless the respondent pays the applicant rent arrears of \$1719 (file #20-12934, filed on July 19,

2012). In my opinion, the eviction is justified if the respondent fails to pay the ordered rent

arrears and remains in possession of the premises.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer