

IN THE MATTER between **SATDEO INC.**, Applicant, and **TYLER COMEAU**,  
Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter  
R-5 (the "Act") and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer,  
regarding the rental premises at **HAY RIVER, NT.**

BETWEEN:

**SATDEO INC.**

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

**TYLER COMEAU**

Respondent/Tenant

**AMENDED EVICTION ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to section 63(4)(a) and 83(2) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall be evicted from the premises known as Apartment 408, 3 Capital Drive, Hay River, NT on June 12, 2012 unless the rent arrears and the June, 2012 rent in the total amount of one thousand four hundred seventeen dollars and two cents (\$1417.02) is paid in full on or before June 11, 2012.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 30th day of May,  
2012.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **SATDEO INC.**, Applicant, and **TYLER COMEAU**,  
Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter  
R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

**SATDEO INC.**

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

**TYLER COMEAU**

Respondent/Tenant

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

**Date of the Hearing:** May 30, 2012

**Place of the Hearing:** Hay River, NT via teleconference

**Appearances at Hearing:** Malay Das, representing the applicant

**Date of Decision:** May 30, 2012

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

The respondent was served with a Notice of Attendance sent by registered mail and confirmed delivered. The respondent failed to appear at the hearing and the hearing was held in his absence.

The tenancy agreement between the parties will be terminated on June 11, 2012 unless the respondent pays the respondent pays the applicant rent arrears and the June, 2012 rent totalling \$1417.02 ( file #10-12856, filed on May 30, 2012). In my opinion the eviction is justified if the respondent fails to pay the ordered amounts and remains in possession of the premises.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer