IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **FLORITA WASHIE AND ALEXIS WASHIE AND JASON WETRADE**, Respondents;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act")and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **YELLOWKNIFE**, **NT**.

BETWEEN:

NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

FLORITA WASHIE AND ALEXIS WASHIE AND JASON WETRADE

Respondents/Tenants

EVICTION ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to sections 63(4)(a) and 83(2) of the *Residential Tenancies Act* the respondents shall be evicted from the premises known as 5432–52nd Street, Yellowknife, NT on June 18, 2012 unless the rent arrears and the June, 2012 rent in the total amount of five thousand six hundred sixty five dollars and twenty nine cents (\$5665.29) are paid in full on or before June 15, 2012.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 30th day of May, 2012.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **FLORITA WASHIE AND ALEXIS WASHIE AND JASON WETRADE**, Respondents.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

FLORITA WASHIE AND ALEXIS WASHIE AND JASON WETRADE

Respondents/Tenants

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: May 23, 2012 continued on May 29, 2012

Place of the Hearing: Yellowknife, NT

Appearances at Hearing: Maigan Lefrancois, representing the applicant

Alexis Washie, respondent (May 23 only) Florita Washie, respondent (May 29 only)

Date of Decision: May 29, 2012

REASONS FOR DECISION

This tenancy agreement will be terminated by order on June 15, 2012 unless the respondents pay the applicant rent arrears and the June, 2012 rent in the amount of \$5665.29 (file #10-12849, filed on May 30, 2012). In my opinion, the eviction is justified if the ordered amounts are not paid and the respondents remain in possession of the premises.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer