

IN THE MATTER between **TREESTONE HOLDINGS LTD. TERRY POPPLESTONE**, Applicant, and **JAMES ALLARD**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act") and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **FORT SMITH, NT**.

BETWEEN:

TREESTONE HOLDINGS LTD. TERRY POPPLESTONE

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

JAMES ALLARD

Respondent/Tenant

EVICITION ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to section 63(4)(a) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall be evicted from the premises known as #4-24 Wood Bison Avenue, Fort Smith, NT on March 6, 2012 unless the rent arrears, electrical costs, the outstanding portion of the required security deposit and the March, 2012 rent in the total amount of three thousand seven hundred twenty eight dollars and twelve cents (\$3728.12) are paid in full on or before March 5, 2012.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 22nd day of February, 2012.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **TREESTONE HOLDINGS LTD. TERRY POPPLESTONE**, Applicant, and **JAMES ALLARD**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

TREESTONE HOLDINGS LTD. TERRY POPPLESTONE

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

JAMES ALLARD

Respondent/Tenant

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: February 22, 2012

Place of the Hearing: Yellowknife, NT via teleconference

Appearances at Hearing: Terry Popplestone, representing the applicant
James Allard, respondent

Date of Decision: February 22, 2012

REASONS FOR DECISION

The tenancy agreement between the parties will be terminated by order on March 5, 2012 unless the respondent has paid the applicant rent arrears, electrical costs, the outstanding portion of the required security deposit and the March, 2012 rent in the total amount of three thousand seven hundred twenty eight dollars and twelve cents (\$3728.12) on or before that date (file #10-12668, filed on February 22, 2012).

In my opinion, the eviction is justified if the order is not satisfied and the respondent remains in possession of the premises.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer