

IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **IAN KIKOAK AND MARY FIRTH**, Respondents;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act") and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **INUVIK, NT**.

BETWEEN:

NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

IAN KIKOAK AND MARY FIRTH

Respondents/Tenants

EVICITION ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to sections 63(4)(a) and 83(2) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondents shall be evicted from the premises known as Apartment 206, 20 Bootlake Road, Inuvik, NT on,
 - a) January 14, 2012 unless a payment of rent arrears is made to the applicant in the amount of one thousand dollars (\$1000.00) on or before January 13, 2012 or,
 - b) on January 28, 2012 unless a payment of rent arrears is made to the applicant in the amount of one thousand five hundred eight dollars (\$1508.00) on or before January 27, 2012.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 10th day of January, 2012.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **IAN KIKOAK AND MARY FIRTH**, Respondents.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

IAN KIKOAK AND MARY FIRTH

Respondents/Tenants

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: January 5, 2012

Place of the Hearing: Inuvik, NT

Appearances at Hearing: Bright Lubansa, representing the applicant
Ian Kikoak, respondent

Date of Decision: January 5, 2012

REASONS FOR DECISION

The tenancy agreement will be terminated by order on January 13, 2012 unless a payment of \$1000 is made on that date or on January 27, 2012 unless a final payment of \$1508 is made on that date (File #20-12518, filed on January 10, 2012).

In my opinion, the eviction is justified if the ordered payments are not made and the respondents remain in possession of the premises.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer