

IN THE MATTER between **FORT PROVIDENCE HOUSING ASSOCIATION**,
Applicant, and **ALLAN ANTOINE AND LORNA ANTOINE**, Respondents;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter
R-5 (the "Act") and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer,
regarding the rental premises at **FORT PROVIDENCE, NT**.

BETWEEN:

FORT PROVIDENCE HOUSING ASSOCIATION

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

ALLAN ANTOINE AND LORNA ANTOINE

Respondents/Tenants

EVICITION ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to section 63(4)(a) & 83(2) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondents shall be evicted from the premises known as Unit 72, Lot 56, Fort Providence, NT on February 21, 2012 unless rent arrears in the amount of fifteen thousand eight hundred fifty five dollars and eighty three cents (\$15,855.83) are paid in full on or before February 20, 2012.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 27th day of January,
2012.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **FORT PROVIDENCE HOUSING ASSOCIATION**,
Applicant, and **ALLAN ANTOINE AND LORNA ANTOINE**, Respondents.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter
R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

FORT PROVIDENCE HOUSING ASSOCIATION

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

ALLAN ANTOINE AND LORNA ANTOINE

Respondents/Tenants

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: January 25, 2012

Place of the Hearing: Fort Providence, NT via teleconference

Appearances at Hearing: Alphonsine Gargan, representing the applicant

Date of Decision: January 27, 2012

REASONS FOR DECISION

The respondents were served with Notices of Attendance sent by registered mail and confirmed delivered. The respondents failed to appear at the hearing and the hearing was held in their absence.

The tenancy agreement between the parties will be terminated by order on February 20, 2012 unless the respondents pay rent arrears of fifteen thousand eight hundred fifty five dollars and eighty three cents (\$15,855.83) on or before that date (file #10-12523, filed on January 27, 2012).

In my opinion, the eviction is justified if the respondents fail to satisfy the order and remain in possession of the premises.

Hal Logsdon
Rental Officer