IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **LESTER BEAULIEU**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act")and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **YELLOWKNIFE**, **NT**.

BETWEEN:

### NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

#### LESTER BEAULIEU

Respondent/Tenant

# **EVICTION ORDER**

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to section 63(4)(a) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall be evicted from the premises known as Apartment 16, 5023 48th Street, Yellowknife, NT on January 6, 2012 unless rent arrears and the rent for January, 2012 in the total amount of two thousand seven hundred fifty dollars and seventy eighty cents (\$2750.78) are paid on or before January 5, 2012.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 16th day of December, 2011.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **LESTER BEAULIEU**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

#### NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

### LESTER BEAULIEU

Respondent/Tenant

## **REASONS FOR DECISION**

**Date of the Hearing:** December 14, 2011

**Place of the Hearing:** Yellowknife, NT

**Appearances at Hearing:** Maigan Lefrancois, representing the applicant

Date of Decision: December 14, 2011

- 2 -

## **REASONS FOR DECISION**

The respondent was personally served with a Notice of Attendance but failed to appear at the hearing. The hearing was held in his absence.

The tenancy agreement between the parties will be terminated by order on January 5, 2012 unless the respondent pays the applicant rent arrears and the January, 2012 rent totalling \$2750.78 (file #10-12483, filed on December 16, 2011).

In my opinion, the eviction of the respondent is justified if the respondent fails to satisfy the order and remains in possession of the premises.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer