IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **ERROL VILLENEUVE**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act")and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **YELLOWKNIFE**, **NT**.

BETWEEN:

#### NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

#### ERROL VILLENEUVE

Respondent/Tenant

## **EVICTION ORDER**

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to sections 63(4)(a) and 83(2) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall be evicted from the premises known as Apartment 209, 48 Con Road, Yellowknife, NT on October 31, 2011 unless rent arrears and the October, 2011 rent in the total amount of three thousand eighty two dollars and fifty cents (\$3082.50) are paid in full on or before October 28, 2011.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 14th day of October, 2011.

Hal Logsdon	
Rental Officer	

IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **ERROL VILLENEUVE**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

## BETWEEN:

## NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

## **ERROL VILLENEUVE**

Respondent/Tenant

# **REASONS FOR DECISION**

**Date of the Hearing:** September 27, 2011

**Place of the Hearing:** Yellowknife, NT

**Appearances at Hearing:** Maigan Lefrancois, representing the applicant

Date of Decision: October 14, 2011

# **REASONS FOR DECISION**

The respondent was personally served with a Notice of Attendance but failed to appear at the hearing. The hearing was held in his absence.

The tenancy agreement between the parties will be terminated on October 28, 2011 unless the respondent pays the applicant rent arrears and the October, 2011 rent in the total amount of \$3082.50 (file #10-12304, filed on October 14, 2011).

In my opinion, the eviction is justified if the respondent fails to satisfy the order and remains in possession of the premises.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer