IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **ANDREW FRADSHAM**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act")and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **YELLOWKNIFE**, **NT**.

BETWEEN:

NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

ANDREW FRADSHAM

Respondent/Tenant

EVICTION ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Pursuant to sections 63(4)(a) ad 83(2) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall be evicted from the premises known as Apartment 109, 492 Range Lake Road, Yellowknife, NT on;
 - a) July 9, 2011 unless the respondent pays the applicant one thousand one hundred thirty five dollars (\$1135.00) on or before July 8, 2011,
 - b) July 23, 2011 unless the respondent pays the applicant an additional one thousand one hundred thirty five dollars (\$1135.00) on or before July 22, 2011 and,
 - c) August 2, 2011 unless the respondent pays the applicant an additional two

thousand three hundred fifty dollars (\$2350.00) on or before August 3, 2011.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 8th day of July, 2011.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and **ANDREW FRADSHAM**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

ANDREW FRADSHAM

Respondent/Tenant

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: July 6, 2011

Place of the Hearing: Yellowknife, NT

Appearances at Hearing: Maigan Lefrancois, representing the applicant

Andrew Fradsham, respondent

Date of Decision: July 6, 2011

REASONS FOR DECISION

The tenancy agreement between the parties will be terminated by order on several dates unless specified payments of rent arrears are made by the respondent (file #10-12227, filed on July 8, 2011). In my opinion the eviction of the respondent is justified if the specified payments are not made by the respondent and he remains in possession of the premises.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer