

IN THE MATTER between **ULUKHAKTOK HOUSING ASSOCIATION**, Applicant,  
and **IRENE AKHIATAK**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter  
R-5 (the "Act") as amended;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer,  
regarding the rental premises at **ULUKHAKTOK, NT**.

BETWEEN:

**ULUKHAKTOK HOUSING ASSOCIATION**

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

**IRENE AKHIATAK**

Respondent/Tenant

**EVICITION ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to section 63(4)a of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall be evicted from the premises known as Unit 13, Lot 3, Block 12, Ulukhaktok, NT on May 31, 2011.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 2nd day of May, 2011.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **ULUKHAKTOK HOUSING ASSOCIATION**, Applicant,  
and **IRENE AKHIATAK**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter  
R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

**ULUKHAKTOK HOUSING ASSOCIATION**

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

**IRENE AKHIATAK**

Respondent/Tenant

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

**Date of the Hearing:** April 27, 2011

**Place of the Hearing:** Ulukhaktok, NT

**Appearances at Hearing:** Joe Perry, representing the applicant  
Sadie Joss, representing the applicant

**Date of Decision:** April 27, 2011

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

The respondent was personally served with a Notice of Attendance but failed to appear at the hearing. The hearing was held in her absence.

This tenancy agreement was terminated by order (file # 20-11866, filed on January 28, 2011) on February 15, 2011 when the respondent failed to meet the conditions of the order. The respondent remains in possession of the premises. The parties have not entered into another tenancy agreement nor has the tenancy agreement been reinstated. The respondent is overholding. The premises are subsidized public housing.

In my opinion, the eviction is justified as the respondent remains in possession.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer