# IN THE MATTER between **RACHAEL SCHARTNER AND DAVID PURDON**, Applicants, and **G.B.H. HOLDINGS**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act") and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **INUVIK**, **NT**.

BETWEEN:

# **RACHAEL SCHARTNER AND DAVID PURDON**

Applicants/Tenants

- and -

# **G.B.H. HOLDINGS**

Respondent/Landlord

# **ORDER**

# IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The application is dismissed.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 17th day of March, 2011.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer IN THE MATTER between **RACHAEL SCHARTNER AND DAVID PURDON**, Applicants, and **G.B.H. HOLDINGS**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before Hal Logsdon, Rental Officer.

**BETWEEN**:

#### **RACHAEL SCHARTNER AND DAVID PURDON**

Applicants/Tenants

-and-

#### **G.B.H. HOLDINGS**

Respondent/Landlord

#### **REASONS FOR DECISION**

Date of the Hearing:	March 10, 2011

Place of the Hearing: Inuvik, NT

**Appearances at Hearing:** 

Rachael Schartner, applicant David Purdon, applicant Greg Murphy, representing the respondent

Date of Decision:

March 10, 2011

#### **REASONS FOR DECISION**

The tenancy agreement between the parties obligated the respondents to pay for electricity during the term of the agreement. The tenancy agreement was terminated on December 31, 2010 and the respondents requested the supplier of electricity to disconnect the electrical service on that date. The service was not disconnected until January 4, 2011. The applicant sought compensation from the respondent for electricity used for the four days in January, 2011.

It is the tenant's responsibility to establish and account for electricity with the supplier, pay the monthly charges to the supplier and to close that account when the tenancy agreement is terminated. The landlord is not a party to this contract. In my opinion, this dispute is one between the applicant and the supplier of electricity and is not within the jurisdiction of the rental officer.

The application is therefore dismissed.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer