IN THE MATTER between **ANDRE OUELLET**, Applicant, and **RICHARD FIRTH**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act") as amended;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **INUVIK**, **NT**.

BETWEEN:

ANDRE OUELLET

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

RICHARD FIRTH

Respondent/Tenant

EVICTION ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to section 63(4)(a) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall be evicted from the premises known as 22 Alder Drive, Inuvik, NT on March 26, 2011.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 14th day of March, 2011.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer IN THE MATTER between **ANDRE OUELLET**, Applicant, and **RICHARD FIRTH**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

ANDRE OUELLET

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

RICHARD FIRTH

Respondent/Tenant

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: March 10, 2011

Place of the Hearing: Inuvik, NT

Appearances at Hearing: Andre Ouellet, applicant

Date of Decision: March 10, 2011

- 2 -

REASONS FOR DECISION

The respondent was sent a Notice of Attendance by registered mail. At the time of the hearing

there was no confirmation of receipt. The rental officer attempted to contact the respondent by

telephone with no success. The applicant stated that a copy of his notice had been given to the

respondent prior to the hearing and the respondent stated that he might or might not appear. The

respondent failed to appear at the hearing and the hearing was held in his absence. In my opinion,

the respondent had an adequate opportunity to appear at the hearing and it is not unreasonable to

deem the notice served. I note that the respondent did appear after the hearing was concluded.

The tenancy agreement will be terminated by order on March 25, 2011 (file #20-11949, filed on

March 15, 2011). The eviction of the respondent is justified if the respondent fails to vacate the

premises in accordance with the order.

The tenancy will be terminated for non-payment of rent and failure to pay for water during the

term of the tenancy agreement.

Pursuant to section 63(2) of the Residential Tenancies Act, an application for termination of a

tenancy agreement and the eviction of the tenant may be made with a single application.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer