

IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and  
**NATASHA ROGERS**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter  
R-5 (the "Act") and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer,  
regarding the rental premises at **INUVIK, NT**.

BETWEEN:

**NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

**NATASHA ROGERS**

Respondent/Tenant

**EVICITION ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to sections 63(4)(a) and 83(2) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall be evicted from the premises known as Apartment 308, 60 Bompas Street, Inuvik, NT on August 1, 2012 unless rent arrears in the amount of two thousand six hundred ten dollars and ten cents (\$2610.10) are paid in full to the applicant on or before July 31, 2012.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 19th day of July,  
2012.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**, Applicant, and  
**NATASHA ROGERS**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter  
R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

**NPR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP**

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

**NATASHA ROGERS**

Respondent/Tenant

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

**Date of the Hearing:**                      **July 19, 2012**

**Place of the Hearing:**                      **Inuvik, NT, via teleconference**

**Appearances at Hearing:**                      **Bright Lubansa, representing the applicant**

**Date of Decision:**                      **July 19, 2012**

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

The respondent was sent a Notice of Attendance by registered mail. At the time of the hearing, there was no confirmation of receipt however a notice was provided to the respondent by Canada Post on July 5, 2012 indicating where the item could be picked up. The applicant stated that the respondent was still in possession of the premises. In my opinion it is not unreasonable to deem the Notice of Attendance served pursuant to section 71(5) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*. The respondent failed to appear at the hearing and the hearing was held in her absence.

The tenancy agreement between the parties will be terminated by order on July 31, 2012 unless the respondent pays the applicant rent arrears of \$2610.10 (file #20-12935, filed on July 19, 2012). In my opinion, the eviction is justified if the respondent fails to pay the ordered rent arrears and remains in possession of the premises.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer