

IN THE MATTER between **INUVIALUIT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION**,  
Applicant, and **DARRELL CHRISTIE AND STACEY CHRISTIE**, Respondents;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter  
R-5 (the "Act") and amendments thereto;

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer,  
regarding the rental premises at **INUVIK, NT**.

BETWEEN:

**INUVIALUIT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION**

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

**DARRELL CHRISTIE AND STACEY CHRISTIE**

Respondents/Tenants

**ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to section 41(4)(b) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondents shall pay future rent on time.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 18th day of  
November, 2011.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **INUVIALUIT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION**,  
Applicant, and **DARRELL CHRISTIE AND STACEY CHRISTIE**, Respondents.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter  
R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

**INUVIALUIT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION**

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

**DARRELL CHRISTIE AND STACEY CHRISTIE**

Respondents/Tenants

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

**Date of the Hearing:** November 17, 2011

**Place of the Hearing:** Inuvik, NT via teleconference

**Appearances at Hearing:** Tanya Gruben, representing the applicant  
Darrell Christie, respondent

**Date of Decision:** November 17, 2011

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

The applicant alleged that the respondents had breached the tenancy agreement by failing to pay the rent on the days it was due. The applicant withdrew their request to terminate the tenancy agreement and sought only an order requiring the respondents to pay future rent on time.

The applicant provided a copy of the tenancy agreement in evidence. The tenancy agreement obligates the tenants to pay rent on or before the first day of every month. The applicant also provided a list of payment dates that indicated that the monthly rent had repeatedly been paid after the first day of the month.

The respondent did not dispute the allegation.

I find the respondents in breach of their obligation to pay the rent on the days it is due. An order shall issue requiring the respondents to pay future rent on time.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer