

IN THE MATTER between **YELLOWKNIFE HOUSING AUTHORITY**, Applicant,  
and **DAWN LAPPIN**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter  
R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer,  
regarding the rental premises at **YELLOWKNIFE, NT**.

BETWEEN:

**YELLOWKNIFE HOUSING AUTHORITY**

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

**DAWN LAPPIN**

Respondent/Tenant

**ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to section 45(4)(b) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the respondent shall not breach her obligation to not keep pets on the premises again.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 10th day of August,  
2006.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer

IN THE MATTER between **YELLOWKNIFE HOUSING AUTHORITY**, Applicant,  
and **DAWN LAPPIN**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter  
R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

**YELLOWKNIFE HOUSING AUTHORITY**

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

**DAWN LAPPIN**

Respondent/Tenant

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

<b><u>Date of the Hearing:</u></b>	<b>August 8, 2006</b>
<b><u>Place of the Hearing:</u></b>	<b>Yellowknife, NT</b>
<b><u>Appearances at Hearing:</u></b>	<b>Julie Forget, representing the applicant Dawn Lappin, respondent</b>
<b><u>Date of Decision:</u></b>	<b>August 8, 2006</b>

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

The applicant alleged that the respondent had kept a cat in the rental premises when the written tenancy agreement between the parties prohibited pets. The applicant testified that the respondent had previously declared that the cat had been removed from the premises but that the cat had been seen in the premises on June 28, 2006. The applicant stated that she understood that the cat was no longer in the premises and if that was the case, she would withdraw the request to terminate the tenancy in favour of an order requiring the respondent to not breach the obligation to not keep pets again.

The respondent did not dispute the allegations and stated that her daughter had moved to Edmonton and had taken the cat with her.

I find the respondent in breach of her obligation to not keep pets on the premises and shall issue an order requiring the respondent to not breach that obligation again.

---

Hal Logsdon  
Rental Officer