IN THE MATTER between **RICHARD C. ADAMS**, Applicant, and **DOLLY PETERSON**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **INUVIK**, **NT**.

BETWEEN:

RICHARD C. ADAMS

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

DOLLY PETERSON

Respondent/Tenant

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The application is dismissed.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 20th day of April, 2005.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer IN THE MATTER between **RICHARD C. ADAMS**, Applicant, and **DOLLY PETERSON**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before Hal Logsdon, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

RICHARD C. ADAMS

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

DOLLY PETERSON

Respondent/Tenant

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing:	March 18, 2005
Place of the Hearing:	Inuvik, NT via teleconference
Appearances at Hearing:	Richard C. Adams, applicant
Date of Decision:	April 20, 2005

REASONS FOR DECISION

The respondent was served with a Notice of Attendance on March 8, 2005 but failed to appear at the hearing. The hearing was held in her absence.

The applicant alleged that the respondent had breached the tenancy agreement by failing to pay the full amount of rent and by failing to pay the rent on time. A previous order (File #20-8152, filed on January 5, 2005) required the respondent to pay rent arrears of \$1440 and to pay future rent on time. The applicant testified that an additional \$175 of arrears had accumulated since the last order was issued and that the respondent had failed to pay the rent for January, February and March on the days it was due. There was no written tenancy agreement between the parties.

The applicant was requested to submit a statement of the rent account indicating the dates and amounts of payments and rent. He undertook to provide the information to the Rental Officer on March 21, 2005. The decision was reserved pending receipt of the rent statement. The Rental Officer contacted the applicant by phone on or about April 1, 2005 to inquire if the rent statement would be forthcoming. The applicant assured the Rental Officer that he would fax the statement to the Rental Office. To this date, nothing has been received.

In my opinion, there is insufficient evidence before me to make a determination of this matter and it appears that the applicant has abandoned the matter. Consequently, the application is dismissed.

> Hal Logsdon Rental Officer