IN THE MATTER between **FORT PROVIDENCE HOUSING ASSOCIATION**, Applicant, and **BEVERLY BOUVIER**, Respondent;

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before, **HAL LOGSDON**, Rental Officer, regarding the rental premises at **FORT PROVIDENCE**, **NT**.

BETWEEN:

FORT PROVIDENCE HOUSING ASSOCIATION

Applicant/Landlord

- and -

BEVERLY BOUVIER

Respondent/Tenant

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Pursuant to section 43(3)(d) of the *Residential Tenancies Act*, the tenancy agreement between the parties for the premises known as Unit 4, Lot 46 North, Fort Providence, NT shall be terminated on September 30, 2004 and the respondent shall vacate the premises on that date.

DATED at the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories this 16th day of September, 2004.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer IN THE MATTER between **FORT PROVIDENCE HOUSING ASSOCIATION**, Applicant, and **BEVERLY BOUVIER**, Respondent.

AND IN THE MATTER of the **Residential Tenancies Act** R.S.N.W.T. 1988, Chapter R-5 (the "Act");

AND IN THE MATTER of a Hearing before **Hal Logsdon**, Rental Officer.

BETWEEN:

FORT PROVIDENCE HOUSING ASSOCIATION

Applicant/Landlord

-and-

BEVERLY BOUVIER

Respondent/Tenant

REASONS FOR DECISION

Date of the Hearing: September 16, 2004

<u>Place of the Hearing:</u> Fort Providence, NT via teleconference

Appearances at Hearing: Loretta Landry, representing the applicant

Vicki Marcellais, representing the applicant

Beverly Bouvier, respondent

Date of Decision: September 16, 2004

REASONS FOR DECISION

The applicant alleged that the respondent had breached the tenancy agreement by repeatedly disturbing other tenants in the residential complex and sought an order terminating the tenancy agreement between the parties.

The applicant testified that she had direct knowledge of most of alleged incidents of disturbance as she was a tenant in the complex. The applicant provided three letters from the head landlord outlining four incidents from June 4, 2004 to July 29, 2004. The incidents involved loud parties, fighting, and young people creating disturbances in the apartment. The applicant testified that one other disturbance had taken place since the application was filed. It involved a number of young persons creating noise in the apartment. The applicant stated that when the incident was reported, the respondent was at her mother's house and returned to the premises to deal with the matter.

The respondent testified that the incident which occurred on June 6, 2004 involved her sister. She stated that she had not let her sister into the building and had not permitted her in her apartment.

Section 43 of the *Residential Tenancies Act* obligates a tenant to not disturb other tenants.

- 43. (1) A tenant shall not disturb the landlord's or other tenants' possession or enjoyment of the rental premises or residential complex.
 - (2) A disturbance caused by a person permitted by a tenant to enter the residential complex or the rental premises of the tenant shall be deemed to be a disturbance caused by the tenant.

- 3 -

In my opinion all of the incidents with the exception of the one which occurred on June 6, 2004

constitute disturbances and a breach of the Act. One in particular resulted in the police being

called and arrests being made. The persistent disturbance since this tenancy commenced in May,

2004 has, no doubt, been a source of aggravation to other tenants in the complex. There are five

other premises in this complex. The tenant has received both verbal and written warnings

concerning the incidents but they appear to have had little effect. In my opinion there are

sufficient grounds to terminate the tenancy agreement and termination is the only remedy which

will ensure that other tenants are no longer disturbed.

An order shall issue terminating the tenancy agreement between the parties on September 30,

2004 and requiring that the respondent vacate the premises on that date.

Hal Logsdon Rental Officer